

Faculty Advisory Committee Meeting
October 13, 2016
11:00 a.m.

Minutes

Members Present: Janet Haley, Seyed Mehdian, Lisa Lapeyrouse, Leyla Sanker, Laura Friesen, Adedotun Ojelabi and Annette Patterson.

INTRODUCTIONS:

Members introduced themselves to the committee and student representative.

MEETING SCHEDULE:

The committee discussed the schedule for the year and recommended a Doodlepoll be conducted to determine availability for meetings. It was recommended to contact the nominating committee for a temporary replacement for Kelli Levinstein who was out on leave for the semester. It was suggested contacting the Social Work Department to determine her leave time.

CE GRANT FUNDING PRESENTATION:

Leyla Sanker presented some information on possible guidelines, rubric and requirements for grant applications for course support. Discussion ensued regarding the process of applying and how the committee felt about a competitive process with peer review. Leyla Sanker discussed the need for the process not to be too burdensome, but a competitive process could make a case for why it is a good investment for the University to support. Presentation included information about:

- A decision analysis with value structuring for clear goals,
- Objectives Hierarchy for decision making criteria (this still needs expansion and more consideration);
- Mutually beneficial partnerships;
- Outcomes and short term goals.

Possible suggestions included creating excitement for c.e. courses, annual awards for the best grant project, highlighting all grant recipients and their projects on the department website, formal assessments of community partnerships. It was recommended having a presentation at Faculty meetings to spread the word about civic engagement and course support. SHPS has their faculty meetings on Fridays and might be worth having presentations at those meetings.

It is important to have an integration of best practices and show how values have relevance to the proposed project. What are best practices, what does that mean and how can you get there?

The next meeting needs to consider rubric examples (someone needs to check with TCLT), values and what weight each piece needs to have when evaluating whether to fund a proposed project.

Members questioned whether the department had received funding from the Provost's office and Annette Patterson indicated that Paula Nas, the Interim Director would be the person with that information. Ms. Patterson indicated that Paula would be attending the next Advisory Meeting and can answer any questions at that time regarding funding issues.

A doodlepoll will go out to determine availability for the next meeting.

Adjournment: There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 12:20 p.m.